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First prize on an international competition for the design

In 2008 a first prize on an international competition for the design of the New Airport Terminal was awarded to the project by Branko Kincl,


Velimir Neidhardt and Jure Radić



Second prize was awarded to the project by Shigeru Ban and Taro Okabe, and the third prize to the project by Norman Foster. From other 14 entries, two were awarded fourth and fifth prize, and four others were buyouts. And this is the
$2^{\text {nd }}$ prize: Shigeru Ban and Taro Okabe
$3^{\text {rd }}$ prize: Norman Foster



- In 2011 a concessionary agreement was signed with French company Bouygues for the erection of terminal according to the winning design
- The Authors and the Concessioner both agreed for changes to be made in order to optimize the cost of the New Terminal:

|  | Competition winning design | Main design (as being built) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passengers / year | from 5 million (phase 1) up to 8 million (phase 2) |  |
| Layout area (Main building) | $155 \times 165 \mathrm{~m}$ | $129.6 \times 136.8$ m |
| Pier lenghts | 353 m (left), 151 m (right) | 83 m (left), 40 m (right) |
| Gross construction area | $73.320 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $65.883 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Number of Levels | Basement, 0, 1-4 | 0, 1-3 |
| Concrete construction | Monolithic, RC | Monolithic, RC, Prestressed |
| Steel roof construction | Three-directional Plane Truss | Triangular grid Space Truss |
| Cost | 280-300 mil € | 236 mil € |

## Visualization according to the new project (AS BEING BUILT)



- 2 discrete geometrical and structural forms, which divide the terminal into 3 dilatation parts:

1. Main building (First dilatation)
2. Linear structures of the piers left and right (Second and Third dilatation)

- These functionally different parts are also structurally divided with dilatations in concrete floors and roof steel space truss.

- Number and layout of the levels are designed for a perfect passenger orientation pattern

- Each level has a distinct function: arriving level (ground level),ARRIVAL RASSENdERSMM BAGAG transfer level (+5.4 m), departure level ( +10.2 m ) and departure gallery ( +15.0 m ).
- They are connected by stairways and escalators which are enclosed with walls that serve as main seismic shear walls.

- The inner layout of the main building measures $137.5 \times 131.1 \mathrm{~m}$. The total layout of roof structure (roof shadow) is 151.2 m in width by 152.3 m in length.
- Main building is concrete structure which comprises 4 levels: ground floor 0.00 m , first floor +5.40 m , second floor +10.20 m and third floor 15.00 m .
- The roof is variable in height ranging from minimum 20 m in the lowest part (near the building entrance) to maximum 34 m at the middle on the airstrip side.

- Pier comprises ground floor and two upper floors (elevation +5.40 and +10.20 m)
- Pier roof height is also variable following the descending form of the main building.
- Pier roof is a vault with circular cross section, so with the change of its height it also changes horizontally. This causes a variable width of pier upper floor at level +10.2 m and a curvy edge of concrete slab at that level.

- Therefore, the width of the floors in the pier area varies from 16.2 m to 17.4 m .
- Left pier is 83.50 m long and right pier is 40.25 m long

Linearity of the piers allows the adaptability towards the developing or changeable needs and optimal functional performance of the terminal.
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Design organization structure


## Medium level impact risk

1. Concessioner's decission to alter the main concrete construction design in October 2014 ( from precast RC construction to monolithic post-tensioned RC construction)
2. Concessioner's decision to change the beginning of construction works (from middle od July 2014 to middle of April 2014)

## High level impact risk

3. Contractor for roof steel space truss abandoned the project in September 2014

Change of the beginning of construction works (from middle od July 2014 to middle of April 2014)


Hend ove cate: 55 512/3


$=$


Number of IGH design team members employed during 2014


- Documentation management and exchange of information is handled through ACONEX platform
- Code structure for identification of the documentation:


Control and flow of documentation


|  | Element | Type | Size / thickness | Spans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vertical elements | ANTISEISMIC: <br> Concrete cores Shear walls <br> Columns | $\begin{aligned} & 20,25,30,40 \text { i } 50 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & 60 / 60-70 / 70 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \Phi 70, \Phi 80 \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Floor slabs | Prestressed concrete monolithic slabs with wide and shallow beams | $1^{\text {st }}$ floor slab: <br> Beam 160/55 cm <br> Slab 18 cm <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ floor slab: <br> Beam 300/55 cm <br> Slab 25 cm | $7.2 \times 14.4 \text { m }$ $14.4 \times 14.4 \text { m }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{\tilde{u}}{\mathbf{u}} \end{aligned}$ | Frames | ANTISEISMIC: <br> 3 RC frames longitudinally Transversal RC frames every 7.2 m <br> Shear walls | Columns 970 <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ floor: <br> Edge Beam 70/80 cm <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor: <br> Edge Beam 70/70 cm <br> 50 cm | 7.2 m |
|  | Floor slabs | RC monolithic slabs | $1^{\text {st }}$ floor slab: <br> Slab 22cm <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor slab: <br> Slab 25 cm | $7.2 \times 14.4$ m |




## Foundations



## Foundation strips for:

- facade walls
- main seizmic walls

Hollow foundation blocks for:

- 4 seizmic cores

Foundation foots for:

- columns
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## Ground floor celling (Lvl +5.40)




FIRST LEVEL CEILING - POZ 300
KONSTRUKCIJA 1. KATA - POZ 300
PIER - D2
PTB - D1
PIER - D3



## Ground floor celling <br> (Lvl +5.40)

1. floor selling (Lvl +10.20)
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## Space frame construction of the piers for horizontal and vertical actions



Complete floor slab is concreted in 4 separated parts and monolitized after 6 months when most of creep and shrinkage is realized





BEAM TENDON LAYOUT, DIRECTION X, AXIS G
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Steel roof structure

- Steel structure is primarily used for roof supporting
- Generation of the roof surface is done using circle sections (minimum radius 30 m ) in both directions with tangential lines in transition areas
- Result of this generation is the roof of wavy shape in both directions, merged with the facade facing the runway which has a tubular shape with variable elevation.
- Piers roofs on both sides of the main building are tubular with variable height.
- Between the space trusses of the main building and the piers is a dilatation separating space trusses (and also concrete floors).

View of the complete roof space truss - total of $\mathbf{2 5 . 7 8 0}$ truss members!


- The roof structure of the main building is a steel space truss structure.
- The structure is made of tubular circular members (ranging from $\varnothing 76.1 \times 2.9$ to $\varnothing 219.1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with connecting elements and spherical nodes with threaded holes.
- The basic plan-view disposition of the main building comprises triangular grid shapes with each triangle having the base of 3.6 m and the height of 3.6 m . These triangles define the axes of the chord truss members.
- The grid of the bottom chord is displaced 1.8 m longitudinally and 1.2 m transversely in respect to the top chord.



## Wind tunnel test





 direction.

- The main building roof structure is supported by 18 columns resting on reinforced-concrete pedestals at the levels +10.20 m and +15.00 m .
- Each column comprises 6 members ( $\varnothing 406.4 \times 16$ and $\varnothing 406.4 \times 20$ ) connecting to 6

- Near the connection of the building and the pier, columns are omitted and the roof is supported by truss walls which continue into the pier structure
- Pier truss construction is thus integrated into the main building truss, so both appear as one continuity (one emerging from another)
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- Transition between building and pier truss is achieved by preserving the same triangular module and adjusting its size depending on the roof height
- Pier truss height of 1.4 m is gradually increasing as the pier is beginning to merge with main building

- On the airside, roof space truss is descending as a facade and is supported by anchors in the concrete floor slabs
- Floor slabs are cantilevered to allow the truss members to reach them
- Outer truss chord is anchored in the first floor slab (lvl +5.4 m ), and the inner chord is anchored in the second floor slab (lvl +10.2 m).
- Also, truss diagonals are anchored in the bottom face of the upper slab



## Detail of reinforcement around anchors - large tension and compression forces from truss

 members are taken over by reinforcement loops in the floor slabROOF INSERTS AT AXIS V_DETAIL S1
BROJ POLOZ̃AJA ROOF INSERTS-a U OSI V.... 21 positions
NUMBER OF ROOF INSERTS IN AXIS V .... 21 positions
CROSS SECTION OF DETAIL IN AXIS $V_{-} \underset{\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{S C}} \mathbf{1 : 2 5}$




- Thickness of the edge of the slab where the anchors are installed is 55 cm (main building) and 40 cm (piers)
- Lower anchors line is straight, but the upper is curved due to variable height of the roof and changing height of the truss ( $1.4 \mathrm{~m}->3 \mathrm{~m}$ ).
- This curvature is achieved by variable width of the floor slab on Ievel + 102 m
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Roof sheeting: BEMO-STANDING SEAM ROOF



## Roof skylight areas
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## CONCLUSIONS

- Croatian government signed a concessionary agreement with French company Bouygues for the erection of the terminal according to the winning design by Branko Kincl, Velimir Neidhardt and Jure Radic.
- New Zagreb airport terminal is a perfect blend between architecture, urbanism, environment and construction.
- The form of the architectural expression is directly derived from the natural conception of the load bearing structure. No elements have been forcibly added to satisfy only one need, but rather to be a part of the multifunctional solution.

Airport Franjo Tuđman declared the best in Europe in the category up to 5 mil. Passengers

According to the ACI / ASQ Satisfaction Survey, Airport Franjo Tudjman was named the best airport in Europe in the airport group of 2 to 5 million passengers in 2018
6.3.2019.
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## Thank you for your attention



